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Introduction

Dear Team 34

Thank you for your continued work with us. We would like to commend your team for their diligent work on the project and the professional manner in which they have conducted themselves with. So far, our impression of the work done by your team is positive, but we have some suggestions for minor improvement that would hopefully make the development process smoother. In the following letter, we have outlined our thoughts on the work done so far as well as some in depth critiques on the product as well as your teams conduct and development methods towards release one.

Discussion of Demonstration

Preparedness

In general the development team showed that they were relatively well prepared, however they were let down in some areas, in particular the unit tests. The unit tests were not formatted in a manner suitable for presentation to a client team and thus it appeared unprepared. One way that this could be improved is with the use of a plan or rehearsal of the presentation and ensuring that all relevant information is presented in a manner that is easily accessible and understandable. In spite of their minor lack in preparation, they made up for this with a deep understanding of the  technical aspects of the project. This greatly helped with the appearance of their presentation.

Expectations

Overall the development team stuck to the plan they had laid out, completing the goals they had set out in an efficient and timely manner. Each task for this sprint was completed to a high standard and with attention to detail. However it is important to note that no additional features or tasks were completed beyond the scope of the original plan.

There was a lack of communication to us in regards to the time taken to complete the laid out tasks, leaving doubt in our minds as to whether or not the development team delivered everything they could have in this release.

Better communication in regards to the completion of developments goals and overall progress of the project in future would show that everything that can be done is being done and provide us assurance as to our expectations.

Technical

The presentation that you showed was communicated at a level that was understandable to us as stakeholders with nothing too, technical shown that would confuse us. It was also done with virtually no bugs being shown and little to no technical difficulties. The system architecture that was chosen for the product was suitable and you were able to articulate correctly why it was chosen.

Due to these points we think your team is clearly on the way to a heavily polished product by the end of the next sprint  and that you have the ability to easily demonstrate its features and functionality.

Professionalism

Your team displayed a high level of professionalism and this was considered to be one your strong points. In terms of the professionalism as a whole in the project this was improved based off feedback which had been portrayed at an earlier date within the project. In terms of the major strengths in regards to professionalism your team had a presentation which was considered clear and easy to understand in terms of the content that was presented. The presentation also showed how your team could work well to convey to the client each of the user stories that had been outlined for completion within this sprint. Although this was a major strength there was only one aspect that was not on par with the other aspects of the presentation. This was to do with the fact that the structure was seen to be fractionally less professional than the rest of the presentation. In terms of how this aspect could be improved the parking permit team at Atmiya college suggest that the presentation structure could be set out in a more organised structure to maximise the professionalism of the presentation and so that for next time all aspects run more smoothly.

Your team also conveyed improved external communication in regards to this sprint. This was taken in as feedback as communication was seen to be one of the weaknesses that had been seen earlier within the project life cycle, however our team is impressed with the level of communication that was portrayed within this release. This overall improved the professionalism as a whole because communication is key to the professionalism within the team.

Conclusion

In conclusion, despite some minor areas that need improvement, we are happy with the work you have done thus far as well as the professional attitude you have maintained over the course of the project. Once again, we would like to thank you for your services and look forward to continued work with your team.

Kind Regards,

From the parking permit team at Atmiya College.